## Cross-linguistic influence on pronoun resolution in simultaneous bilingual Turkish-Dutch children: An eye-tracking study

Chantal van Dijk<sup>1</sup>, Anna Aumeistere<sup>1</sup>, Susanne Brouwer<sup>1</sup>, Ton Dijkstra<sup>1,2</sup>, Sharon Unworth<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Radboud University Nijmegen, Centre for Language Studies <sup>2</sup>Radboud University Nijmegen, Donders Centre for Cognition

c.n.vandijk@let.ru.nl, aumeistereanna@gmail.com, s.brouwer@let.ru.nl, t.dijkstra@donders.ru.nl, s.unsworth@let.ru.nl

We investigated whether pronoun interpretation preferences from a null subject language, Turkish, influence online and offline pronoun resolution in a non-null subject language, Dutch, in Turkish-Dutch simultaneous bilingual children. Dutch overt pronouns are usually linked to the most accessible referent in the discourse (e.g., Ariel, 2014), which is Anna in (1). In contrast, Turkish overt pronouns typically signal a shift in topic (e.g., Azar & Özyürek, 2015; Enç, 1986), linking *o* in (2) either to Sophie or a third unmentioned referent.

(1) Anna<sub>i</sub> en Sophie<sub>k</sub> zijn thuis. Terwijl Anna<sub>i</sub> leest, neemt zij<sub>i/k</sub> een slokje water. Anna<sub>i</sub> and Sophie<sub>k</sub> are home while Anna<sub>i</sub> reads takes she<sub>i/k</sub> a sip water

(2) Anna<sub>i</sub> okurken,  $o_{i?/k}$  sudan bir yudum alıyor. Anna<sub>i</sub> read she<sub>?i/k</sub> water a sip take

It is unknown whether preferences from one language can influence bilingual children's online pronoun resolution preferences in their other language (see Serratrice, 2007, for offline pronoun resolution). In fact, cross-linguistic influence during sentence processing in general is an underexplored area in children (cf. Lemmerth & Hopp, 2019; van Dijk, Dijkstra, & Unsworth, under review). Our main aim was, therefore, to test for online cross-linguistic influence during Dutch pronoun resolution in Turkish-Dutch children. Furthermore, we compared online and offline effects and investigated the role of language dominance. Finally, we tested whether a general bilingualism effect affects children's pronoun interpretations (e.g., Sorace et al., 2009).

We measured 17 Turkish-Dutch children's (age: 7;0-11;0) pronoun interpretation preferences in Dutch sentences such as in (1) using an eye-tracking task (visual world paradigm) combined with a picture selection task. Twenty-two German-Dutch bilingual and 14 Dutch monolingual peers served as control groups. We expected no influence from German as Dutch and German have similar pronoun preferences (e.g., Roberts, Gullberg, & Indefrey, 2008).

Using mixed effects modelling we found evidence for cross-linguistic influence from Turkish in children's fixations when we took children's language dominance profile into account. The more balanced children were in their languages, as opposed to being Dutch-dominant, the less they fixated on the Turkish-preferred non-topic referent. We observed a similar although non-significant pattern offline. Finally, we found no evidence for a general bilingualism effect online or offline.

Our findings suggest that processing Dutch pronouns activates Turkish overt pronouns and their preferred non-topic interpretation, in line with accounts on non-selective lexical and syntactic access in bilinguals (e.g., Dijkstra & van Heuven, 2002; Hartsuiker & Bernolet, 2017). Turkish-Dutch children had to inhibit this Turkish co-activation. Consequently, the stronger this inhibition was, the less available the 'Turkish interpretation' became. Furthermore, language dominance mediated the strength of co-activation, and, consequently, inhibition. This study is one of the first to provide direct evidence of language co-activation during sentence processing in bilingual children.

## References

- Ariel, M. (2014). Accessing Noun-Phrase Antecedents (RLE Linguistics B: Grammar) (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315857473
- Azar, Z., & Özyürek, A. (2015). Discourse management: Reference tracking in speech and gesture in Turkish narratives. *Dutch Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 4(2), 222–240. https://doi.org/10.1075/dujal.4.2.06aza
- Dijkstra, T., & van Heuven, W. J. B. (2002). The architecture of the bilingual word recognition system: From identification to decision. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 5(3), 175–197. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1366728902003012
- Enç, M. (1986). Topic Switching and Pronominal Subjects in Turkish. In D. I. Slobin & K. Zimmer (Eds.), *Studies in Turkish Linguistics* (pp. 195–209). https://doi.org/10.1075/tsl.8.11enc
- Hartsuiker, R. J., & Bernolet, S. (2017). The development of shared syntax in second language learning. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 20(2), 219–234. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728915000164
- Lemmerth, N., & Hopp, H. (2019). Gender processing in simultaneous and successive bilingual children: Cross-linguistic lexical and syntactic influences. *Language Acquisition*, *26*(1), 21–45. https://doi.org/10.1080/10489223.2017.1391815
- Roberts, L., Gullberg, M., & Indefrey, P. (2008). Online Pronoun Resolution in L2 discourse: L1 influence and general learner effects. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, *30*(3), 333-357. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0272263108080480
- Serratrice, L. (2007). Cross-linguistic influence in the interpretation of anaphoric and cataphoric pronouns in English-Italian bilingual children. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 10(3), 225–238. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728907003045
- Sorace, A., Serratrice, L., Filiaci, F., & Baldo, M. (2009). Discourse conditions on subject pronoun realization: Testing the linguistic intuitions of older bilingual children. *Lingua*, *119*(3), 460–477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2008.09.008
- van Dijk, C., Dijkstra, T., & Unsworth, S. (under review). Cross-linguistic influence during online sentence processing in bilingual children. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*.