

Outward over-explicitness and the over-use of overt subject pronouns by L2ers

Elisa Di Domenico¹, Ioli Baroncini², Diletta Comunello³

¹Università per Stranieri di Perugia

²Università per Stranieri di Siena

³Rijksuniversiteit Groningen

elisa.didomenico@unistrapg.it, i.baroncini@studenti.unistrasi.it, d.comunello@student.rug.nl

Several works have highlighted that advanced L2 speakers of a null subject language over-use overt subject pronouns (OSPs) with respect to native speakers, using them also in topic continuity, even when their L1 is also a null subject language (Bini 1993; Margaza & Bel 2006; Lozano 2006 a. o.). Cross-linguistic influence may play a role if there is micro-variation between the two null subject languages of the speakers (Filiaci *et al.* 2014). Other proposals suggest that properties at the syntax-discourse interface (such as topicality) are complex to compute for L2ers, whose processing resources are more taxed (Sorace 2011, 2016): OSPs appear thus as a convenient default option. Finally, some authors argue that L2ers simply tend to be over-explicit (Ryan 2015 a. o.), violating pragmatic principles banning redundancy more than pragmatic principles banning ambiguity (Lozano 2016). In this work we propose that L2ers' over-explicitness is just outward, and that OSPs are the necessarily explicit devices for L2ers in the contexts where they occur. Analyzing the oral productions (collected through a Story Telling task) of three groups of speakers (15 Greek native speakers [GN], 15 Italian native speakers [IN] and 15 L2ers of Italian with L1 Greek) in terms of topicality and number and kind of active referents, we found:

- a) no GN/IN differences in the use of OSPs
- b) significantly higher use of OSPs by L2ers in topic continuity with respect to GN ($p=0.012$) and IN ($p=0.023$)
- c) significantly higher use of OSPs in contexts with two active referents differing for gender and/or number (2rgn) by all speakers' groups (IN $p=0.013$; L2ers $p<0.001$; GN $p<0.001$)
- d) significantly higher use of OSPs by L2ers in the 2rgn context with respect to the other groups ($p=0.030$)
- e) use of OSPs in topic continuity by L2ers mostly attested in 2rgn
- f) significantly higher use of *pro* in topic continuity by GN with respect to the other two groups (IN $p=0.031$; L2ers $p=0.004$)

The use of OSPs in topic continuity by L2ers cannot be due to cross-linguistic influence given a), nor to general difficulties to deal with topicality since they do not transfer into Italian the properties of the Greek *pro* (f). Given e), we argue that L2ers have difficulties in computing topicality when the presence of an additional character decreases referents' prominence (Arnold & Griffin 2007), making the topic/non-topic distinction unclear. In this situation, the OSP is the necessarily explicit (not over-explicit) device to employ.

We finally analyze comparable data from a group of 12 L2ers of Italian with L1 Serbo-Croatian, where we observe a similar pattern in the distribution of OSPs: the related explanation can be thus extended to speakers with a different null subject L1.

References

Arnold, Jennifer E. & Zeni M. Griffin. 2007. The effect of additional characters on choice of referring expression: Everyone counts. *Journal of Memory and Language* 56.521-536.

- Bini, Milena. 1993. La adquisición del italiano: mas allá de las propiedades sintácticas del parámetro pro-drop. In Juana Liceras (ed.), *La Linguística y el Analisis de los Sistemas no Natives*, 126–139. Ottawa: Doverhouse.
- Filiaci, Francesca, Antonella Sorace & Manuel Carreiras. 2014. Anaphoric biases of null and overt subjects in Italian and Spanish: a cross-linguistic comparison. *Language and Cognitive processes* 28. 825–843. doi: 10.1080/01690965.2013.801502.
- Lozano, Cristóbal. 2006. The development of the syntax-information structure interface: Greek learners of Spanish. In Vincent Torrens & Linda Escobar (eds.), *The acquisition of syntax in Romance languages*, 371-399. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Lozano, Cristóbal. 2016. Pragmatic principles in anaphora resolution at the syntax-discourse interface: advanced English learners of Spanish in the CEDEL2 corpus. In Margarita Alonso-Ramos (ed.), *Spanish Learner Corpus Research: Current Trends and Future Perspectives*, 236–265. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. doi: 10.1075/scl.78.09loz.
- Margaza, Panagiota & Aurora Bel. 2006. Null subjects at the syntax-pragmatics interface: Evidence from Spanish interlanguage of Greek speakers. In Mary Grantham O'Brien, Christine Shea & John Archibald (eds.), *Proceedings of GASLA 2006*, 88-97. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Ryan, Jonathon. 2015. Overexplicit referent tracking in L2 English: Strategy, avoidance or mith? *Language Learning* 65/4. 824-859. doi: 10.1111/lang.12139.
- Sorace, Antonella. 2011. Pinning down the concept of interface in bilingualism. *Linguistic Approaches to Bilingualism* 1. 1-33. doi: 10.1075/lab.1.1.01sor.
- Sorace, Antonella. 2016. Referring expressions and executive functions in bilingualism. *Linguistic Approaches to Bilingualism* 6/5. 669-684. doi: 10.1075/lab.15055.sor.